

A central extension of $U_q\mathrm{sl}(2|2)^{(1)}$ and R -matrices with a new parameter

Hiroiyuki Yamane

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of
Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, Toyonaka 560-
0043, Japan

e-mail:yamane@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp

Abstract

In this paper, using a quantum superalgebra associated with the universal central extension of $\mathrm{sl}(2|2)^{(1)}$, we introduce new R -matrices having an extra parameter x . As $x \rightarrow 0$, they become those associated with the symmetric and anti-symmetric tensor products of the copies of the vector representation of $U_q\mathrm{sl}(2|2)^{(1)}$.

(Received:

I. INTRODUCTION

The Yang-Baxter equation (YBE for short) has played important roles in study of statistical mechanics, knot theory, conformal field theory etc.,¹ and many of its solutions are associated with finite dimensional irreducible representations of quantum affine algebras^{2,3} and superalgebras.⁴ We call the solutions of the YBE the *R-matrices*.

If a finite dimensional simple Lie superalgebra is $A(m, n)$, $B(m, n)$, $C(n)$, $D(m, n)$, $F(4)$, $G(3)$ or $D(2, 1; \alpha)$ ($\alpha \neq 0, -1$), it is called a *basic classical Lie superalgebra*^{5,6} (BCLS for short). We first recall that $A(m, n)$ coincides with $\mathfrak{sl}(m+1|n+1)$ if and only if $m \neq n$, and that $\mathfrak{sl}(m+1|m+1)$ is a one dimensional central extension of $A(m, m)$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a BCLS and $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ the universal central extension (UCE for short) of $\mathfrak{g}$. We also recall that $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}$ if $\mathfrak{g} \neq A(m, m)$ for any m , and that $\overline{A(m, m)} = \mathfrak{sl}(m+1|m+1)$ ($m \geq 2$) and $\overline{A(1, 1)} = \mathfrak{d}$. Here $\mathfrak{d}$ is the Lie superalgebra called $D(2, 1; -1)$.⁷ The $\mathfrak{d}$ is a two (resp. three) dimensional central extension of $\mathfrak{sl}(2|2)$ (resp. $A(1, 1)$). The UCE of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ is given by the affine version $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(1)} = \overline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$.⁷ Motivated by this fact, we direct our attention to

the quantum superalgebra $U_q\mathfrak{d}^{(1)}$ (strictly speaking, $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}_q\mathfrak{d}^{(1)}$) in order to give new R -matrices $\check{R}(u, v; x)$ satisfying the (twisted) YBE:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\check{R}(v, w; x) \otimes I)(I \otimes \check{R}(u, w; q^n x))(\check{R}(u, v; x) \otimes I) \\ &= (I \otimes \check{R}(u, v; q^n x))(\check{R}(u, w; x) \otimes I)(I \otimes \check{R}(v, w; q^n x)) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for some integer n , where $u, v, x \in \mathbb{C}$ are continuous parameters. This can be viewed as a quantum dynamical YBE (see Appendix). The R -matrices we will give are such that as $x \rightarrow 0$, they become the $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(2|2)^{(1)}$ R -matrices^{4,8–10} associated with the symmetric and anti-symmetric tensor products of the copies of the vector representation φ of $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(2|2)^{(1)}$. One of our tools is a four dimensional irreducible representation ρ_x of $\tilde{U}$ with the parameter x such that $\rho_0 = \varphi \circ p$, where $p : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U_q\mathfrak{sl}(2|2)^{(1)}$ is the natural epimorphism.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we introduce $\tilde{U}$ and ρ_x . In Section 2, we give $\check{R}(u, v; x)$ associated with ρ_x . In Section 3, we give all the $\check{R}(u, v; x)$'s mentioned above using the fusion process.

II. A CENTRAL EXTENSION OF $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(2|2)^{(1)}$

Let $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^4 \mathbb{C}\varepsilon_i$ be the five dimensional vector space. Define the symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathcal{E}$ by $(\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0) = 0$, $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1) = (\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) = 1$, $(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_3) = (\varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_4) = -1$ and $(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = 0$ ($i \neq j$). Let $\alpha_0 := \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_4$ and $\alpha_i := \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 3$). Define the parity $p(\alpha_i)$ to be $(4 - (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)^2)/4$. Then the Cartan matrix of $A(1, 1)^{(1)}$ is given by the 4×4 matrix (a_{ij}) , where $a_{ij} = 2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)/((\alpha_i, \alpha_i) + 2p(\alpha_i))$.

Throughout this paper, we assume $q \in \mathbb{C}$ to be such that $q \neq 0$ and $q^r \neq 1$ for every positive integer r . Let $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}_q \mathfrak{d}^{(1)}$ be the associative $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra presented by the generators $s, K_i^\pm, E_i, F_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq 3$) and the defining relations:

$$s^2 = 1, \quad sK_i s = K_i, \quad sE_i s = (-1)^{p(\alpha_i)} E_i, \quad sF_i s = (-1)^{p(\alpha_i)} F_i,$$

$$K_i K_i^{-1} = 1, \quad K_i K_j = K_j K_i,$$

$$K_i E_j K_i^{-1} = q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} E_j, \quad K_i F_j K_i^{-1} = q^{-(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} F_j,$$

$$[E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad \text{if } (i, j) \text{ is neither } (2, 0) \text{ nor } (0, 2),$$

$$K_2[E_2, F_0] \in Z(\tilde{U}), \quad K_2^{-1}[E_0, F_2] \in Z(\tilde{U}),$$

where $[E_i, F_j] := E_i F_j - (-1)^{p(\alpha_i)p(\alpha_j)} F_j E_i$ and $Z(\tilde{U})$ is the center of $\tilde{U}$.

We view $\tilde{U}$ as the (non- $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded) Hopf algebra with the comultiplication $\Delta : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{U} \otimes \tilde{U}$ satisfying:

$$\Delta(s) = s \otimes s, \quad \Delta(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i,$$

$$\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i s^{p(\alpha_i)} \otimes E_i + \delta_{i0}(q - q^{-1})s[E_0, F_2] \otimes E_2,$$

$$\Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + s^{p(\alpha_i)} \otimes F_i - \delta_{i0}(q - q^{-1})F_2 \otimes [E_2, F_0].$$

We do not give the antipode and the counit; we do not need them. We define $\Delta^{(n-1)} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{U}^{\otimes n}$ by letting $\Delta^{(1)} = \Delta$ and $\Delta^{(m)} = (\text{id}_{\tilde{U}} \otimes \Delta^{(m-1)}) \circ \Delta$ ($m \geq 2$).

Remark: (1) The above comultiplication is not standard. Taking the twisting¹¹ for the $\tilde{U}$, we get the standard comultiplication of a quantum superalgebra defined for a Dynkin diagram other than the one associated with the Cartan matrix (a_{ij}) (see above); the $A(1, 1)^{(1)}$ has the two Dynkin diagrams.

(2) Let $\tilde{U}'$ be the subalgebra of $\tilde{U}$ generated by $K_i^\pm, E_i, F_i$. Then $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}' \oplus \tilde{U}'s$. There exists a nonzero ideal J of $\tilde{U}'$ such that $\tilde{U}'/J$

can be regarded as $U_q\mathfrak{d}^{(1)}$. We can get generators of J in the same way as in Ref. 12. By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 8.4.3 of Ref. 12, we can get the natural epimorphism from $U_q\mathfrak{d}^{(1)}$ to $U_q\mathfrak{sl}(2|2)^{(1)}$.

Let $V_x = \mathbb{C}^4$ be the four dimensional vector space, where $x \in \mathbb{C}$ is a parameter. Put $\theta(i) := (1 - (\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i))/2$. Define the irreducible representation $\rho_x : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_x)$ by:

$$\rho_x(s) = \sum_{j=1}^4 (-1)^{\theta(j)} E_{jj}, \quad \rho_x(K_i) = \sum_{j=1}^4 q^{(\alpha_i, \varepsilon_j)} E_{jj},$$

$$\rho_x(E_0) = E_{41}, \quad \rho_x(E_1) = E_{12},$$

$$\rho_x(E_2) = E_{23} + xE_{41}, \quad \rho_x(E_3) = E_{34},$$

$$\rho_x(F_0) = -E_{14} - xq^{-1}E_{32}, \quad \rho_x(F_1) = E_{21},$$

$$\rho_x(F_2) = E_{32}, \quad \rho_x(F_3) = -E_{43}.$$

Let $\tilde{U}^0 = \tilde{U}_q\mathfrak{d}$ be the subalgebra of $\tilde{U}$ generated by $s, K_i^\pm, E_i, F_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 3$). Define the vector subspaces $V_x^{(i)} = V_{x,y}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2$) of $V_x \otimes V_y$

by

$$\begin{aligned}
V_x^{(1)} &:= \mathbb{C}(e_3 \otimes e_3) \oplus \mathbb{C}(e_4 \otimes e_4) \\
&\oplus \bigoplus_{i < j} \mathbb{C}(e_i \otimes e_j - (-1)^{\theta(i)\theta(j)} q e_j \otimes e_i \\
&\quad + \delta_{i1} \delta_{j2} (q^2 y e_3 \otimes e_4 + x e_4 \otimes e_3))
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$V_x^{(2)} := \mathbb{C}(e_1 \otimes e_1) \oplus \mathbb{C}(e_2 \otimes e_2) \oplus \bigoplus_{i < j} \mathbb{C}(e_i \otimes e_j + (-1)^{\theta(i)\theta(j)} q^{-1} e_j \otimes e_i).$$

Lemma 1: $V_x^{(1)}$ is an irreducible $\tilde{U}^0$ -module. Moreover $V_x \otimes V_y$ is a completely reducible $\tilde{U}^0$ -module if and only if $y = qx$. If this is the case, $V_x^{(2)}$ is an irreducible $\tilde{U}^0$ -module which is not isomorphic to $V_x^{(1)}$; in particular, $V_x \otimes V_{qx}$ has an irreducible $\tilde{U}^0$ -submodule decomposition $V_x^{(1)} \oplus V_x^{(2)}$.

Proof: For each $1 \leq i \leq 4$, the weight space including $e_i \otimes e_i$ is one dimensional. Hence, if $V_x \otimes V_y$ is a completely reducible $\tilde{U}^0$ -module, there exists an irreducible $\tilde{U}^0$ -module including $e_i \otimes e_i$. Using this fact, we can check the lemma directly. $\square$

III. R -MATRIX FOR THE VECTOR REPRESENTATION

Define $P_x^{(i)} \in \text{End}(V_x \otimes V_{qx})$ ($i = 1, 2$) by $P_x^{(i)}(v) = \delta_{ij}v$ ($v \in V_x^{(j)}$).

Put

$$\check{R}^\square(u, v; x) := (q^2u - v)P_x^{(1)} + (q^2v - u)P_x^{(2)}, \quad (2)$$

where $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \check{R}^\square(u, v; x) \\ &= (q^2v - u) \sum_{i=1}^2 E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + (q^2u - v) \sum_{i=3}^4 E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} \\ &+ (q^2 - 1) \sum_{i < j} (vE_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + uE_{jj} \otimes E_{ii}) \\ &- q(u - v) \sum_{i \neq j} (-1)^{\theta(i)\theta(j)} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} \\ &+ x(q^2 - 1)(u - v)(qE_{31} \otimes E_{42} - q^2E_{32} \otimes E_{41} \\ &- E_{41} \otimes E_{32} + qE_{42} \otimes E_{31}). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For $u \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, define $\chi_u \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{U})$ by $\chi_u(s) = s$, $\chi_u(K_i) = K_i$, $\chi_u(E_i) = u^{-\delta_{i0}}E_i$ and $\chi_u(F_i) = u^{\delta_{i0}}F_i$. Put $\rho_{u,v,x} := (\rho_x \otimes \rho_{qx}) \circ (\chi_u \otimes \chi_v) \circ \Delta$. Using

(2) and Lemma 1 , we can directly check that:

$$\check{R}^\square(u, v; x) \rho_{u,v,x}(X) = \rho_{v,u,x}(X) \check{R}^\square(u, v; x) \quad (4)$$

for $X \in \tilde{U}$.

Theorem 1: *The $\check{R}^\square(u, v; x)$ satisfies the YBE in the form of (1) with $n = 1$.*

Proof: Let E'_i, F'_i, H'_i ($0 \leq i \leq 3$) be the Chevalley generators of $\mathfrak{sl}(2|2)^{(1)}$. Then there exists a representation $\hat{\psi}_u : \mathfrak{sl}(2|2)^{(1)} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^4)$ sending E'_i, F'_i, H'_i to the limits of $\rho_x \circ \chi_u(E_i), \rho_x \circ \chi_u(F_i), (q - q^{-1})^{-1} \rho_x \circ \chi_u(K_i - K_i^{-1})$ as $(q, x) \rightarrow (1, 0)$, respectively. Notice that $\psi := \hat{\psi}_{1|\mathfrak{sl}(2|2)}$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2|2)$ and that there exist a highest root vector $E'_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3}$ and a lowest root vector $E'_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}(2|2)$ such that

$$u\psi(E'_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3}) = \hat{\psi}_u(F_0), \quad u^{-1}\psi(E'_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)}) = \hat{\psi}_u(E_0). \quad (5)$$

Then, using (4), together with the same argument used in the proof of Proposition 3 in Ref. 3, we get the theorem. $\square$

IV. R -MATRIX FOR THE (ANTI-)SYMMETRIC TENSORS

Here we use a similar process to the fusion process.^{13–15} To begin with, we recall some facts^{16,17} about the Hecke algebra $H_n(q^2)$ associated with the symmetric group S_n ; the $H_n(q^2)$ is the associative $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra presented by the generators h_i ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) and the defining relations: $(h_i - q^2)(h_i + 1) = 0$, $h_i h_{i+1} h_i = h_{i+1} h_i h_{i+1}$ and $h_i h_j = h_j h_i$ ($|i - j| \geq 2$). We abbreviate $H_n(q^2)$ to H . We know that there exists a $\mathbb{C}$ -basis $\{h(\sigma) | \sigma \in S_n\}$ of H such that $h(1) = 1$, $h(\sigma_i) = h_i$ and $h(\sigma'\sigma) = h(\sigma')h(\sigma)$ if $\ell(\sigma'\sigma) = \ell(\sigma') + \ell(\sigma)$. Here σ_i is the simple transposition $(i, i+1)$ and $\ell(\sigma)$ is the length of σ with respect to σ_i 's.

Put

$$e_+ := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} h(\sigma), \quad e_- := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-q^{-2})^{\ell(\sigma)} h(\sigma).$$

Then $h_i e_+ = q^2 e_+$, $h_i e_- = -e_-$ and

$$e_{\pm}^2 = \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} q^{\pm 2\ell(\sigma)} \right) e_{\pm}. \quad (6)$$

Now we treat R -matrices. Let $W_x^{(n)} := V_x \otimes V_{qx} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{q^{n-1}x}$. Put:

$$\check{R}_i(u, v; x) := I^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \check{R}^\square(u, v; q^{i-1}x) \otimes I^{\otimes n-i+1} \in \text{End}(W_x^{(n)}).$$

By Theorem 1, we can define $\check{R}(a; x|\sigma) \in \text{End}(W_x^{(n)})$, $a \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ and $\sigma \in S_n$, inductively by

$$\check{R}(a; x|1) = I^{\otimes n}, \quad \check{R}(a; x|\sigma_i) = \check{R}_i(a_i, a_{i+1}; x)$$

and

$$\check{R}(a; x|\sigma'\sigma) = \check{R}(\sigma[a]; x|\sigma')\check{R}(a; x|\sigma) \quad \text{if } \ell(\sigma'\sigma) = \ell(\sigma') + \ell(\sigma),$$

where $\sigma[a] := (a_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$. By Theorem 1 and (2), there exists a unique representation $\pi_x^{(n)} : H \rightarrow \text{End}(W_x^{(n)})$ such that $\check{R}_i(u, v; x) = \pi_x^{(n)}(uh_i - vq^2h_i^{-1})$.

Let $p_\pm := (1, q^{\mp 2}, \dots, q^{\mp 2(n-1)}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\gamma_n \in S_n$ be such that $\gamma_n(i) = n - i + 1$.

Lemma 2: Let $u \in \mathbb{C}$. Then:

$$\check{R}(up_\pm; x|\gamma_n) = u^{\ell(\gamma_n)} a_\pm(q) \pi_x^{(n)}(e_\pm)$$

for some $a_\pm(q) \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

This can be checked directly; a similar formula has been given in Section 5 in Ref. 14.

Let $V_{\pm,x} := \pi_x^{(n)}(e_{\pm})W_x^{(n)}$. By (6), $d_{\pm}(n) := \dim V_{\pm,x}$ does not depend on q or x . For $a \in (\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$, define the representation $\rho_{a,x} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \text{End}(W_x^{(n)})$ by

$$\rho_{a,x} := (\rho_x \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_{q^{n-1}x}) \circ (\chi_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_{a_n}) \circ \Delta^{(n-1)}.$$

By (4), we have:

$$\check{R}(a; x|\sigma)\rho_{a,x}(X) = \rho_{\sigma[a],x}(X)\check{R}(a; x|\sigma) \quad (7)$$

for $X \in \tilde{U}$. By Lemma 2 and (7), we may define the representation $\rho_{u,x}^{\pm,(n)} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \text{End}(V_{\pm,x})$ by $\rho_{u,x}^{\pm,(n)}(X) = \rho_{\gamma_n[up_{\pm}],x}(X)|_{V_{\pm,x}}$. Notice that

$$\rho_{u,x}^{\pm,(n)} = \rho_{1,x}^{\pm,(n)} \circ \chi_u. \quad (8)$$

We have a representation $\widehat{\psi}_u^{\pm,(n)} : \text{sl}(2|2)^{(1)} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{d_{\pm}^{(n)}})$ sending E'_i, F'_i, H'_i to the limits of $\rho_{u,x}^{\pm,(n)}(E_i), \rho_{u,x}^{\pm,(n)}(F_i), (q - q^{-1})^{-1}\rho_{u,x}^{\pm,(n)}(K_i - K_i^{-1})$ as $(q, x) \rightarrow (1, 0)$. Define the representation $\psi^{\pm,(n)}$ of $\text{sl}(2|2)$ to be $(\widehat{\psi}_1^{\pm,(n)})|_{\text{sl}(2|2)}$. Then $\psi^{+,(n)}$ (resp. $\psi^{-,(n)}$) is the n -fold symmetric (resp.

anti-symmetric) tensor product of the vector representation ψ of $\mathfrak{sl}(2|2)$.

By Ref. 18, we have:

Lemma 3: The $\psi^{\pm,(n)}$ is irreducible. Moreover $d_{\pm}(n) \neq 0$.

Define $\tau \in S_{2n}$ by $\tau(i) = i+n$, $\tau(n+i) = i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). For $g, h \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let $g \cup h := (g_1, \dots, g_n, h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Let S_n be embedded into S_{2n} in the natural way. By Lemma 2, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \check{R}(\gamma_n[up_{\pm}] \cup \gamma_n[vp_{\pm}]; x|\tau)(\pi_x^{(n)}(e_{\pm}) \otimes \pi_{q^n x}^{(n)}(e_{\pm})) \\
&= \frac{(uv)^{-\ell(\gamma_n)}}{a_{\pm}(q)^2} \check{R}(\gamma_n[up_{\pm}] \cup \gamma_n[vp_{\pm}]; x|\tau) \check{R}(up_{\pm}; x|\gamma_n) \check{R}(vp_{\pm}; x|\tau\gamma_n\tau) \\
&= \frac{(uv)^{-\ell(\gamma_n)}}{a_{\pm}(q)^2} \check{R}(up_{\pm} \cup vp_{\pm}; x|\gamma_n\tau\gamma_n) \\
&= \frac{(uv)^{-\ell(\gamma_n)}}{a_{\pm}(q)^2} \check{R}(vp_{\pm}; x|\gamma_n) \check{R}(up_{\pm}; x|\tau\gamma_n\tau) \check{R}(up_{\pm} \cup vp_{\pm}; x|\tau) \\
&= (\pi_x^{(n)}(e_{\pm}) \otimes \pi_{q^n x}^{(n)}(e_{\pm})) \check{R}(up_{\pm} \cup vp_{\pm}; x|\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we may put:

$$\check{R}^{\pm,(n)}(u, v; x) := \check{R}(\gamma_n[up_{\pm}] \cup \gamma_n[vp_{\pm}]; x|\tau)|_{V_{\pm, x} \otimes V_{\pm, q^n x}}$$

$$\in \text{End}(V_{\pm, x} \otimes V_{\pm, q^n x}).$$

Let $\rho_{u,v}^{\pm,(n)} := (\rho_{u,x}^{\pm,(n)} \otimes \rho_{v,q^n x}^{\pm,(n)}) \circ \Delta$. Notice that

$$\rho_{u,v}^{\pm,(n)}(X) = (\rho_{\gamma_n[up_{\pm}] \cup \gamma_n[vp_{\pm}], x}(X))|_{V_{\pm, x} \otimes V_{\pm, q^n x}}. \quad (9)$$

By (7) and (9), we have:

$$\check{R}^{\pm,(n)}(u, v; x) \rho_{u,v}^{\pm,(n)}(X) = \rho_{v,u}^{\pm,(n)}(X) \check{R}^{\pm,(n)}(u, v; x). \quad (10)$$

for $X \in \tilde{U}$.

Theorem 2: *The $\check{R}^{\pm,(n)}(u, v; x)$ satisfies the YBE in the form of (1).*

Proof: By (5), we have $u\psi^{\pm,(n)}(E'_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3}) = \hat{\psi}_u^{\pm,(n)}(F_0)$ and $u^{-1}\psi^{\pm,(n)}(E'_{-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3)}) = \hat{\psi}_u^{\pm,(n)}(E_0)$. Noting this fact and using (8), (10) and Lemma 3, together with the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3 in Ref. 3, we have the theorem. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks E. Date, M. Okado and Y. Koga for valuable comments. He also thanks Y.Z. Zhang for telling him about face-type dynamical R -matrices.

APPENDIX: A QUANTUM DYNAMICAL R -MATRIX

Here we show that the $\check{R}^{\pm, (n)}(u, v; x)$ can be viewed as a dynamical R -matrix. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a finite dimensional commutative Lie algebra. Let V be a finite dimensional diagonalizable $\mathfrak{h}$ -module, i.e., $V = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V_\mu$, where $V_\mu := \{v | h.v = \mu(h)v\}$. We say that a (meromorphic) function $\check{R}' : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \text{End}(V \otimes V)$ is a *quantum dynamical R -matrix* if it satisfies the quantum dynamical YBE (see Ref. 19 for example):

$$\begin{aligned} & (\check{R}'(v, w, \lambda) \otimes I) \check{R}'_{23}(u, w, \lambda - h^{(1)}) (\check{R}'(u, v, \lambda) \otimes I) \\ &= \check{R}'_{23}(u, v, \lambda - h^{(1)}) (\check{R}'(u, w, \lambda) \otimes I) \check{R}'_{23}(v, w, \lambda - h^{(1)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{R}'_{23}(u, v, \lambda - h^{(1)}) \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes 3})$ is defined by

$$\check{R}'_{23}(u, v, \lambda - h^{(1)})|_{V_\mu \otimes V \otimes V} = (I \otimes \check{R}'(u, v, \lambda - \mu))|_{V_\mu \otimes V \otimes V}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}'' = \mathbb{C}$ and let $\mathfrak{h}''$ act on $\mathbb{C}^{d_\pm(n)}$ by $z.v = -nzv$. Let $a \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $e^a = q$. Define $\check{R}'' : \mathbb{C}^2 \times (\mathfrak{h}'')^* \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{d_\pm(n)} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_\pm(n)})$ by $\check{R}''(u, v, \lambda) = \check{R}^{\pm, (n)}(u, v; e^{a\lambda(1)})$. By Theorem 2, $\check{R}''$ is a quantum dynamical R -matrix.

- ¹C. N. Yang and M. L. Ge(eds), *Braid Groups, Knot theory and Statistical Mechanics*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989).
- ²V. G. Drinfeld, *ICM Proceedings*, (Berkeley 1986), p. 798.
- ³M. Jimbo, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **102**, 537 (1986).
- ⁴A. J. Bracken, M. D. Gould and R. B. Zhang,, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **5**, 831 (1989).
- ⁵V. G. Kac, *Adv. in. Math.* **26**, 8 (1977).
- ⁶V. G. Kac, *Lect. Note in Math.* **676**, 597 (1978).
- ⁷K. Iohara and Y. Koga, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **76**, 110 (2001)
- ⁸V. V. Bazhanov and A. G. Shadrnikov, *Theoret. Math. Phys.* **73**, 1302 (1987).
- ⁹R. M. Gade, *J. Phys. A.* **31**, 4909 (1998).
- ¹⁰J. H. H. Perk, and C. L. Schultz, *Non-linear Integrable systems—Classical theory and quantum theory* ed, by M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1983), p. 135.
- ¹¹S. M. Khoroshkin and V. N. Tolstoy, *Twisting of quantum (super-*

-)algebras, in Generalized symmetries in physics* (Claustal, 1993), (World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1994) p. 42.
- ¹²H. Yamane, *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.* **35**, 321 (1999), (Errata) *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.* **37**, 615 (2002).
- ¹³I. V. Cherednik, *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **33**, 507 (1986).
- ¹⁴M. Jimbo, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **11**, 247 (1986).
- ¹⁵P. P. Kulish, N. Yu Reshetikhin and E. K. Sklyanin, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **5**, 393 (1981).
- ¹⁶C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory with Applications to Finite Groups and Orders, Volume II*, (A Wiley International Publication, New York. 1987).
- ¹⁷A. Gyoja, *Osaka J. Math.* **23**, 841 (1986).
- ¹⁸A. N. Sergeev, *Math. USSR-Sb.* **51**, 419 (1985).
- ¹⁹P. Etingof and A. Varchenko, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **196**, 591 (1998).